IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

DUCHONNA H. BRIGGS,

Plaintiff, : Case No. 3:05-cv-129

District Judge Walter Herbert Rice

-vs- Chief Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

:

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, et al.,

Defendants.

DECISION AND ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

This case is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Request an Extention [sic] of Time for Filing Answers to Admissions, Production of Document, Interrogatories and to Modify the Scheduling Order Dated November 15, 2005 (Doc. No. 14).

Plaintiff advises that she was served requests for admissions, interrogatories, and requests for production of documents on August 9, 2006, and now wishes until December 18, 2006, to respond. To accommodate this extension, she requests that the discovery cut-off be moved from October 20, 2006, to February 2, 2007; that the Defendants' dispositive motion date be extended from November 17, 2006, to February 23, 2007; and that her own dispositive motion date be rescheduled from January 5, 2007, to April 20, 2007. She avers that this will not delay the Final Pretrial Order scheduled for May 4, 2007.

This case was filed April 8, 2005, and is now set for trial to a jury on Judge Rice's docket on May 21, 2007, more than two years after its filing. Granting the extensions of time sought by Plaintiff would in fact necessitate a continuance of trial, because the summary judgment motions

Case: 3:05-cv-00129-MRM Doc #: 15 Filed: 09/15/06 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 97

would not even be ripe for decision by the time the trial date came. The Magistrate Judge has no

authority to modify Judge Rice's trial docket or to grant continuances that would deprive the judge

of the necessary time to consider and decide motions prior to trial.

Accordingly, the Court rules on Plaintiff's Motion as follows:

1. Plaintiff need not respond at all to requests for admission which were served after the cvut-

off date of August 1, 2006.

2. Plaintiff's time to respond to interrogatories and requests for production of documents is

extended to and including October 1, 2006.

3. All other extensions requested in the Motion are denied.

September 15, 2006.

s/ Michael R. Merz Chief United States Magistrate Judge